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Objectives

- Describe the physical structure of secondary and tertiary storage devices and the resulting effects on the uses of the devices
- Explain the performance characteristics of mass-storage devices
- Discuss operating-system services provided for mass storage, including RAID and HSM
Overview of Mass Storage Structure

- **Magnetic disks** provide bulk of secondary storage of modern computers
  - Drives rotate at 60 to 200 times per second
  - **Transfer rate** is rate at which data flow between drive and computer
  - **Positioning time** (random-access time) is time to move disk arm to desired cylinder (seek time) and time for desired sector to rotate under the disk head (rotational latency)
  - **Head crash** results from disk head making contact with the disk surface
    - That’s bad
- Disks can be removable
- Drive attached to computer via **I/O bus**
  - Busses vary, including EIDE, ATA, SATA, USB, Fibre Channel, SCSI
  - **Host controller** in computer uses bus to talk to disk controller built into drive or storage array
Moving-head Disk Mechanism

- track $t$
- sector $s$
- cylinder $c$
- platter
- rotation
- spindle
- arm assembly
- read-write head
- arm
Magnetic tape

- Was early secondary-storage medium
- Relatively permanent and holds large quantities of data
- Access time slow
- Random access ~1000 times slower than disk
- Mainly used for backup, storage of infrequently-used data, transfer medium between systems
- Kept in spool and wound or rewound past read-write head
- Once data under head, transfer rates comparable to disk
- 20-200GB typical storage
- Common technologies are 4mm, 8mm, 19mm, LTO-2 and SDLT
Disk Structure

- Disk drives are addressed as large 1-dimensional arrays of logical blocks, where the logical block is the smallest unit of transfer.

- The 1-dimensional array of logical blocks is mapped into the sectors of the disk sequentially:
  - Sector 0 is the first sector of the first track on the outermost cylinder.
  - Mapping proceeds in order through that track, then the rest of the tracks in that cylinder, and then through the rest of the cylinders from outermost to innermost.
Disk Attachment

- Host-attached storage accessed through I/O ports talking to I/O busses

- SCSI itself is a bus, up to 16 devices on one cable, **SCSI initiator** requests operation and **SCSI targets** perform tasks
  - Each target can have up to 8 *logical units* (disks attached to device controller)

- FC is high-speed serial architecture
  - Can be switched fabric with 24-bit address space – the basis of **storage area networks (SANs)** in which many hosts attach to many storage units
  - Can be *arbitrated loop (FC-AL)* of 126 devices
Network-Attached Storage

- Network-attached storage (NAS) is storage made available over a network rather than over a local connection (such as a bus)
- NFS and CIFS are common protocols
- Implemented via remote procedure calls (RPCs) between host and storage
- New iSCSI protocol uses IP network to carry the SCSI protocol
Storage Area Network

- Common in large storage environments (and becoming more common)
- Multiple hosts attached to multiple storage arrays - flexible
Disk Scheduling

- The operating system is responsible for using hardware efficiently — for the disk drives, this means having a fast access time and disk bandwidth.

- Access time has two major components:
  - **Seek time** is the time for the disk heads to move to the cylinder containing the desired sector.
  - **Rotational latency** is the additional time waiting for the disk to rotate the desired sector to the disk head.

- **Minimize seek time**
- **Seek time** \( \approx \) **seek distance**

- **Disk bandwidth** is the total number of bytes transferred, divided by the total time between the first request for service and the completion of the last transfer.
Several algorithms exist to schedule the servicing of disk I/O requests

We illustrate them with a request queue (0-199)

98, 183, 37, 122, 14, 124, 65, 67

Head pointer 53
FCFS

Illustration shows total head movement of 640 cylinders

queue = 98, 183, 37, 122, 14, 124, 65, 67
head starts at 53
SSTF

- Selects the request with the minimum seek time from the current head position
- SSTF scheduling is a form of SJF scheduling; may cause starvation of some requests
- Illustration shows total head movement of 236 cylinders
SSTF (Cont)

queue = 98, 183, 37, 122, 14, 124, 65, 67
head starts at 53
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The disk arm starts at one end of the disk, and moves toward the other end, servicing requests until it gets to the other end of the disk, where the head movement is reversed and servicing continues.

SCAN algorithm Sometimes called the elevator algorithm

Illustration shows total head movement of 208 cylinders
SCAN (Cont.)

queue = 98, 183, 37, 122, 14, 124, 65, 67
head starts at 53
C-SCAN

- Provides a more uniform wait time than SCAN
- The head moves from one end of the disk to the other, servicing requests as it goes
  - When it reaches the other end, however, it immediately returns to the beginning of the disk, without servicing any requests on the return trip
- Treats the cylinders as a circular list that wraps around from the last cylinder to the first one
C-SCAN (Cont)

queue = 98, 183, 37, 122, 14, 124, 65, 67
head starts at 53
C-LOOK

- Version of C-SCAN
- Arm only goes as far as the last request in each direction, then reverses direction immediately, without first going all the way to the end of the disk
C-LOOK (Cont)

queue: 98, 183, 37, 122, 14, 124, 65, 67
head starts at 53
Selecting a Disk-Scheduling Algorithm

- SSTF is common and has a natural appeal
- SCAN and C-SCAN perform better for systems that place a heavy load on the disk
- Performance depends on the number and types of requests
- Requests for disk service can be influenced by the file-allocation method
- The disk-scheduling algorithm should be written as a separate module of the operating system, allowing it to be replaced with a different algorithm if necessary
- Either SSTF or LOOK is a reasonable choice for the default algorithm
Low-level formatting, or physical formatting — Dividing a disk into sectors that the disk controller can read and write

To use a disk to hold files, the operating system still needs to record its own data structures on the disk

- **Partition** the disk into one or more groups of cylinders
- **Logical formatting** or “making a file system”
- To increase efficiency most file systems group blocks into clusters
  - Disk I/O done in blocks
  - File I/O done in clusters

Boot block initializes system

- The bootstrap is stored in ROM
- **Bootstrap loader** program

Methods such as sector sparing used to handle bad blocks
Booting from a Disk in Windows 2000

- MBR
- partition 1
- partition 2
- partition 3
- partition 4

boot code
partition table
boot partition
Swap-space — Virtual memory uses disk space as an extension of main memory

Swap-space can be carved out of the normal file system, or, more commonly, it can be in a separate disk partition

Swap-space management

- 4.3BSD allocates swap space when process starts; holds text segment (the program) and data segment
- Kernel uses swap maps to track swap-space use
- Solaris 2 allocates swap space only when a page is forced out of physical memory, not when the virtual memory page is first created
Data Structures for Swapping on Linux Systems

swap partition
or swap file

|   | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |

swap map

page slot

swap area
RAID Structure

- RAID – multiple disk drives provides reliability via redundancy
- Increases the mean time to failure
- Frequently combined with NVRAM to improve write performance
- RAID is arranged into six different levels
Several improvements in disk-use techniques involve the use of multiple disks working cooperatively

- Disk **striping** uses a group of disks as one storage unit

- RAID schemes improve performance and improve the reliability of the storage system by storing redundant data
  - **Mirroring** or **shadowing** (RAID 1) keeps duplicate of each disk
  - Striped mirrors (RAID 1+0) or mirrored stripes (RAID 0+1) provides high performance and high reliability
  - **Block interleaved parity** (RAID 4, 5, 6) uses much less redundancy

- RAID within a storage array can still fail if the array fails, so automatic replication of the data between arrays is common

- Frequently, a small number of **hot-spare** disks are left unallocated, automatically replacing a failed disk and having data rebuilt onto them
**RAID Levels**

(a) RAID 0: non-redundant striping.

(b) RAID 1: mirrored disks.

(c) RAID 2: memory-style error-correcting codes.

(d) RAID 3: bit-interleaved parity.

(e) RAID 4: block-interleaved parity.

(f) RAID 5: block-interleaved distributed parity.

(g) RAID 6: P + Q redundancy.
RAID (0 + 1) and (1 + 0)

a) RAID 0 + 1 with a single disk failure.

b) RAID 1 + 0 with a single disk failure.
Extensions

- RAID alone does not prevent or detect data corruption or other errors, just disk failures
- Solaris ZFS adds checksums of all data and metadata
- Checksums kept with pointer to object, to detect if object is the right one and whether it changed
- Can detect and correct data and metadata corruption
- ZFS also removes volumes, partitions
  - Disks allocated in pools
  - Filesystems with a pool share that pool, use and release space like “malloc” and “free” memory allocate / release calls
ZFS Checksums All Metadata and Data

metadata block 1
- address 1
- address 2
- checksum MB2
- checksum

metadata block 2
- address
- checksum D1
- checksum D2

- data 1
- data 2
Traditional and Pooled Storage

(a) Traditional volumes and file systems.

(b) ZFS and pooled storage.
Stable-Storage Implementation

- Write-ahead log scheme requires stable storage

- To implement stable storage:
  - Replicate information on more than one nonvolatile storage media with independent failure modes
  - Update information in a controlled manner to ensure that we can recover the stable data after any failure during data transfer or recovery
Tertiary Storage Devices

- Low cost is the defining characteristic of tertiary storage
- Generally, tertiary storage is built using removable media
- Common examples of removable media are floppy disks and CD-ROMs; other types are available
Removable Disks

- Floppy disk — thin flexible disk coated with magnetic material, enclosed in a protective plastic case

  - Most floppies hold about 1 MB; similar technology is used for removable disks that hold more than 1 GB
  - Removable magnetic disks can be nearly as fast as hard disks, but they are at a greater risk of damage from exposure
Removable Disks (Cont.)

- A magneto-optic disk records data on a rigid platter coated with magnetic material
  - Laser heat is used to amplify a large, weak magnetic field to record a bit
  - Laser light is also used to read data (Kerr effect)
  - The magneto-optic head flies much farther from the disk surface than a magnetic disk head, and the magnetic material is covered with a protective layer of plastic or glass; resistant to head crashes

- Optical disks do not use magnetism; they employ special materials that are altered by laser light
WORM Disks

- The data on read-write disks can be modified over and over
- **WORM** (“Write Once, Read Many Times”) disks can be written only once
- Thin aluminum film sandwiched between two glass or plastic platters
- To write a bit, the drive uses a laser light to burn a small hole through the aluminum; information can be destroyed by not altered
- Very durable and reliable
- **Read-only disks**, such as CD-ROM and DVD, come from the factory with the data pre-recorded
Tapes

- Compared to a disk, a tape is less expensive and holds more data, but random access is much slower.

- Tape is an economical medium for purposes that do not require fast random access, e.g., backup copies of disk data, holding huge volumes of data.

- Large tape installations typically use robotic tape changers that move tapes between tape drives and storage slots in a tape library.
  - stacker – library that holds a few tapes
  - silo – library that holds thousands of tapes

- A disk-resident file can be archived to tape for low cost storage; the computer can stage it back into disk storage for active use.
Major OS jobs are to manage physical devices and to present a virtual machine abstraction to applications.

For hard disks, the OS provides two abstraction:

- Raw device – an array of data blocks
- File system – the OS queues and schedules the interleaved requests from several applications
Most OSs handle removable disks almost exactly like fixed disks — a new cartridge is formatted and an empty file system is generated on the disk.

Tapes are presented as a raw storage medium, i.e., an application does not open a file on the tape, it opens the whole tape drive as a raw device.

Usually the tape drive is reserved for the exclusive use of that application.

Since the OS does not provide file system services, the application must decide how to use the array of blocks.

Since every application makes up its own rules for how to organize a tape, a tape full of data can generally only be used by the program that created it.
Tape Drives

- The basic operations for a tape drive differ from those of a disk drive
  - `locate()` positions the tape to a specific logical block, not an entire track (corresponds to `seek()`)
- The `read position()` operation returns the logical block number where the tape head is
- The `space()` operation enables relative motion
- Tape drives are “append-only” devices; updating a block in the middle of the tape also effectively erases everything beyond that block
- An EOT mark is placed after a block that is written
The issue of naming files on removable media is especially difficult when we want to write data on a removable cartridge on one computer, and then use the cartridge in another computer.

Contemporary OSs generally leave the name space problem unsolved for removable media, and depend on applications and users to figure out how to access and interpret the data.

Some kinds of removable media (e.g., CDs) are so well standardized that all computers use them the same way.
Hierarchical Storage Management (HSM)

- A hierarchical storage system extends the storage hierarchy beyond primary memory and secondary storage to incorporate tertiary storage — usually implemented as a jukebox of tapes or removable disks.
- Usually incorporate tertiary storage by extending the file system:
  - Small and frequently used files remain on disk.
  - Large, old, inactive files are archived to the jukebox.
- HSM is usually found in supercomputing centers and other large installations that have enormous volumes of data.
Two aspects of speed in tertiary storage are bandwidth and latency

Bandwidth is measured in bytes per second

- **Sustained bandwidth** – average data rate during a large transfer; # of bytes/transfer time
  Data rate when the data stream is actually flowing
- **Effective bandwidth** – average over the entire I/O time, including seek() or locate(), and cartridge switching
  Drive’s overall data rate
Access latency – amount of time needed to locate data

- Access time for a disk – move the arm to the selected cylinder and wait for the rotational latency; < 35 milliseconds
- Access on tape requires winding the tape reels until the selected block reaches the tape head; tens or hundreds of seconds
- Generally say that random access within a tape cartridge is about a thousand times slower than random access on disk

The low cost of tertiary storage is a result of having many cheap cartridges share a few expensive drives

A removable library is best devoted to the storage of infrequently used data, because the library can only satisfy a relatively small number of I/O requests per hour
Reliability

- A fixed disk drive is likely to be more reliable than a removable disk or tape drive.
- An optical cartridge is likely to be more reliable than a magnetic disk or tape drive.
- A head crash in a fixed hard disk generally destroys the data, whereas the failure of a tape drive or optical disk drive often leaves the data cartridge unharmed.
Cost

- Main memory is much more expensive than disk storage
- The cost per megabyte of hard disk storage is competitive with magnetic tape if only one tape is used per drive
- The cheapest tape drives and the cheapest disk drives have had about the same storage capacity over the years
- Tertiary storage gives a cost savings only when the number of cartridges is considerably larger than the number of drives
Price per Megabyte of DRAM, From 1981 to 2004

![Graph showing the decline in price per megabyte of DRAM from 1981 to 2004, with significant drops in price as memory capacity increased.](image-url)
Price per Megabyte of Magnetic Hard Disk, From 1981 to 2004
Price per Megabyte of a Tape Drive, From 1984-2000
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